

UNITED STATES REPORT

ROOM 1101 • 175 FIFTH AVENUE • NEW YORK 10, N. Y.

NUMBER 15

JULY 1965

FANTASTIC U.S. GOVERNMENT PLAN TO END

UNITED STATES SOVEREIGNTY EXPOSED

If anyone doubts that the top policy planners in Washington are plotting the betrayal of the United States into a World Government via the path of total world disarmament, he need have no further doubt. The plan, in its incredible details, was brought to light by a research specialist engineer at North American Aviation in Los Angeles several weeks ago. According to the engineer, whose name is W.A. "Bill" Manahan, the plan came to his attention in early June 1965 when he saw a group of fellow North American engineers in their Downey, California plant huddled around a table reading a 54-page document. He joined the men and upon investigation of what they were reading discovered that it was a fairly detailed plan and projection of the next ten years, prepared by North American under an Air Force contract, No. AF 49(638)-1411, in which U.S. sovereignty would be phased out in favor of World Government, in conformity with the three-stage disarmament plan outlined in State Department Bulletin 7277.

What was so diabolical about the plan was that it contained a chronological "scenario" of staged events which would deliberately betray the American people into World Government, *events which could only be staged with the full conspiratorial collaboration of the Soviet Government!*

Mr. Manahan understood the implications of this document. On request, he obtained a copy of the plan from the "Briefing Chart Vault" of North American Aviation. *The document was not marked "classified,"* an obvious oversight on the part of North American. Manahan took the plan home that night and read it carefully. It seemed too incredible to be true. Yet there it was. He realized that there was only one thing to do: expose it to the full view of the American people. He took the document to his minister, Dr.

Robert Wells of the Central Baptist Church, Anaheim. Copies of the document were made and sent to Senators John Tower, Strom Thurmond, James Eastland, Congressman James Utt and Dr. Billy James Hargis.

The events that followed speak for themselves:

1. Manahan, a 39-year-old father of four children, was summarily discharged from his \$18,000-a-year position at North American.
2. North American decided to take disciplinary action against the Project Manager for not having "classified" the materials.
3. North American released a press statement claiming that the document should have been classified and that its contents were "the dreams of North American 'think' people."

Several weeks have gone by since Mr. Manahan made his discovery and the news media have maintained an absolute blackout on the story, despite the fact that the story was simultaneously released on June 11, 1965 by Dr. Billy James Hargis at a press conference in Wichita, Kansas, and by Dr. Wells on the West Coast. Not a word appeared in the Wichita papers or elsewhere, and on the Coast, only the Santa Ana Register, published by R. C. Hoiles, a conservative, carried the story. Obviously, it will be some time before the American people are told about this; but copies of the document, reproduced by photo offset, are reaching thousands of conservatives, and eventually the pressure will be too great for either the Government or the news media to resist. The Government will have to answer some pretty embarrassing questions.

For example, the "scenario" calls for the following events to take place in 1965:

1. USSR abrogates USSR-China Mutual Defense Treaty.
2. Communist Chinese Government leaders commit suicide.

3. Bilateral U.S.-USSR agreement for destruction of obsolete bomber aircraft.

4. U.S. punitive war vs. North Vietnam and Communist China, includes destruction of nuclear plants.

5. Communist China admitted to U.N.

In other words, the United States and Communist Russia will become allies of a sort and force a change in the Government of China which will not liberate it from Communism, but merely substitute the present ruling group of criminals with a pro-Russian group. How could a North American Aviation "think" team possibly know that the Communist Chinese Government leaders would "commit suicide"? How could they know in advance that the Soviet Union was going to abrogate its Mutual Defense Treaty with Communist China? How could they even consider such events as possible unless it were tacitly understood that members of our government and the Soviet government were conspiratorially collaborating with one another?

If the events for 1965 seem unpredictable and incredible, the events called for in the ensuing years are even more unpredictable. For example, in 1966 the scenario calls for a large-scale revolution in Cuba in which the Guevara government--not the Castro government--will be overthrown. The revolution will take place after the USSR withdraws its economic support from Cuba. There is no indication of who will replace Guevara, or whether the Communist system will be overthrown. Which means that the scenario is not telling us all that has been planned for Cuba.

In 1967 the scenario calls for the internationalization of Berlin under the United Nations. Now if the U.S. State Department is thinking of internationalizing Berlin two years from now, why is it keeping it a secret? Is it because it knows that West Germany will object? Are there also plans to apply great international pressures on West Germany in order to force her to accept a Berlin ruled by a Communist-controlled United Nations?

Indonesia also figures prominently in the scenario. In 1967 and 1968 Indonesia is to fight a guerrilla war against Malaysia in which Indonesia is to be defeated. Subsequently, the U.S. is to discontinue all foreign aid to Indonesia, which in turn will cause the Indonesian government to adopt a conciliatory foreign policy. Now here is a case in which the North

American "think" team not only "predicts" the war which will take place two years from now, but also its outcome and effect on future Indonesian foreign policy. Obviously, a "think" team could make no such predictions unless Indonesia were merely a puppet playing a prepared role on stage.

In 1966 the scenario calls for two events to occur which are so utterly unpredictable, as to leave no doubt that they are to be stage-managed. The first event described in the chronology is an "incident at U.S. Naval War College involving ASW problem" and the second event is described as a "security leak from USSR Mission at U.S. Pacom." How the U.S. Government can arrange for a security leak to occur at a Soviet Mission two years from the time of "prediction" without Soviet collaboration is a mystery, unless the CIA has developed new powers of magic. As for the incident at the Naval War College, it would be interesting to know what kind of an incident would aid the planners' disarmament plans. We do not pretend to understand what the "ASW problem" is, but obviously a detailed plan concerning it exists in another vault.

What does all of this mean? It means that this country is literally being taken to the cleaners by an unscrupulous conspiracy of planners who have no regard whatsoever for the will of the American people or their Constitution. It should be obvious to the planners that no government can plot its own end without acting treasonably. A nation's enemy is any force which threatens to destroy its existence as a nation. A government will lead a nation into war to counter such force. But when that destructive force is the government itself, then it is the government which has become the enemy.

It should also be obvious to the planners that no American government can plan to end the validity of the Constitution of the United States since every government official, elected or appointed, must pledge himself to uphold and defend that Constitution in order to take office or assume his appointed duties. It is therefore legally impossible for the U.S. Government to work for its own destruction. It can only do this by stepping outside the bounds of legality, and once it has done this, the citizens have no other choice but to impeach it.

In the pages that follow, the reader will find the document, reproduced in its entirety, which indicts our top policy planners and the President under whom they work of high treason. There can be no other word for it.

PS 65-49

FACTORS OPERATIVE
IN
A POST-ARMS
CONTROL SITUATION

April 1965



NORTH AMERICAN AVIATION, INC.
SPACE and INFORMATION SYSTEMS DIVISION



CONTENTS

	File No.
Factors Operative in a Post-Armis Control Situation	PS82181
Outline	PS82182
Objectives	PS82183
Statement of Work	PS82184
Arms Control Measures	PS82185
Arms Control Measures (Cont.)	PS82186
National Security Problem Areas	PS82187
United States Policy Goals	PS82188
Study Rationale & Approach	PS82189
Methodology	PS82190
Infractions Among Arms Control Measures & National Security	PS82191
Accomplishments	PS82192
Accomplishments Outline	PS82193
Data & Information Sources	PS82194
Methodology	PS82195
National Objectives	PS82196
Role of the Military	PS82197
U.S. Policy Goals	PS82198
National Security Problem Areas	PS82187
Present World Situation	PS82199
Present World Situation (Cont.)	PS82200
Factors Affecting Adoption of Arms Control Measures	PS82201
Present Situation in Terms of Arms Control	PS82202
Scenario Analyses	PS82203
Scenarios	PS82204
Nuclear Free Zone	PS82205

PS 6-49

45PS82181

FACTORS OPERATIVE IN A POST - ARMS CONTROL SITUATION

CONTRACT NO. AF 49(638)-1411

NORTH AMERICAN AVIATION, INC.
SPACE AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS DIVISION
AEROSPACE SCIENCES DIVISION
OPERATIONS ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

APRIL 1965

File No.

Nuclear Free Zone Chronology	PS82206
Nuclear Free Zone	PS82207
Nuclear Free Zone	PS82208
Nuclear Free Zone	PS82209
Nuclear Free Zone	PS82210
Exchange of Military Missions	PS82211
Chronology of Scenario for Bilateral U.S. - USSR Agreement for	
Exchange of Military Missions	PS82212
Exchange of Military Missions	PS82213
Exchange of Military Missions	PS82214
Exchange of Military Missions	PS82215
General & Complete Disarmament	PS82216
General & Complete Disarmament	PS82217
Approach to GCD	PS82218
Present World Situation	PS82199
Present World Situation (Cont.)	PS82200
Alternate Views of Situation	PS82201
Future Situations in Which GCD is Possible	PS82202
Alternate Strategies for Achieving GCD Situations	PS82203
Examples of Measures to Implement Strategies	PS82204
Examples of Measures to Implement Strategies (Cont.)	PS82205
Alternate GCD Proposals	PS82206
Chronology for Partial Disarmament & Final General Disarmament	PS82207
Treaties	PS82208
Effect of These Proposals on U.S. Capability to Maintain Security	PS82209
Future Work	PS82210
Tentative General Conclusions	PS82211

PS 6-49

45PS82182

OUTLINE

- BACKGROUND & APPROACH
- ACCOMPLISHMENTS
 - NUCLEAR FREE ZONE
 - EXCHANGE OF MILITARY MISSIONS
 - GENERAL & COMPLETE DISARMAMENT
- FUTURE WORK
- TENTATIVE GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

OBJECTIVES

- DEVELOP METHODOLOGY, DATA SOURCES, DATA & INFORMATION
- IDENTIFY FACTORS OPERATIVE IN POST-ARMS CONTROL SITUATION RELATIVE TO NATIONAL SECURITY OBJECTIVES OF U. S.
- REVIEW & DISCUSS EFFECTS OF VARIOUS ARMS CONTROL PROPOSALS ON NATIONAL SECURITY & U. S. ABILITY TO PROTECT ITS VITAL INTERESTS

ARMS CONTROL MEASURES

- SOVIET PROPOSAL FOR GCD WITH INSPECTION OF PHASED OUT FORCES & EQUIPMENT)
- U. S. PROPOSAL FOR GCD WITH INSPECTION OF FORCES & EQUIPMENT REMAINING)
- U. S. 5-POINT PROGRAM (GENEVA CONFERENCE, 21 JAN 1964)
- "SEPARABLE" PROPOSALS
 - NUCLEAR-FREE ZONES
 - OBSERVATION POSTS
 - RECIPROCAL DESTRUCTION OF OBSOLESCENT WEAPONS
 - EXCHANGE OF MILITARY MISSIONS

STATEMENT OF WORK

- ANALYSE MAJOR ARMS CONTROL PROPOSALS TO DETERMINE THEIR RELATIONSHIPS WITH VITAL UNILATERAL & MULTI-LATERAL NATIONAL SECURITY OBJECTIVES OF U. S.
- ANALYSE MAJOR ARMS CONTROL PROPOSALS TO DETERMINE THEIR RELATIONSHIPS WITH NATIONAL SECURITY PROBLEM AREAS
- DETERMINE EFFECT OF THESE PROPOSALS, IF REALIZED, ON U. S. POLICY GOALS
- DETERMINE U. S. CAPABILITY TO PROTECT ITS VITAL INTERESTS & ACHIEVE ITS POLICY GOALS, BASED ON FORCES ALLOWABLE UNDER ARMS CONTROL MEASURES CONSIDERED

ARMS CONTROL MEASURES (CONT)

ADDITIONAL MEASURES CONSIDERED IMPORTANT

- "HOT" LINE*
- NUCLEAR TEST BAN (PARTIAL)*
- ORBITAL NUCLEAR WEAPONS BAN*
- REDUCTION IN PRODUCTION OF FISSIONABLE MATLS FOR WEAPONS USE*
- REMOVAL OF FORCES FROM OVERSEAS BASES
- DECOMMISSIONING OF OVERSEAS BASES
- BAN ON TRANSFER OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS & DEL SYS
- FREEZE ON PRODUCTION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS & DEL SYS
- REDUCTION IN NUMBERS OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS & DEL SYS
- STAGED REDUCTION IN MILITARY FORCES

*EXISTING MEASURES

NATIONAL SECURITY PROBLEM AREAS

- SECURITY OF U. S. FROM OPEN ATTACK BY MAJOR POWERS
- SECURITY OF U. S. FROM DIRECT ATTACK BY MINOR POWERS
- CHALLENGE TO U. S. INTERESTS THROUGH SUBVERSION & ECONOMIC WARFARE
- POSSIBLE CHALLENGE TO U. S. POSITION IN WORLD MARKETS
- SECURITY OF U. S. INTERESTS IN LATIN AMERICA & CARIBBEAN
- THREATS TO U. S. BY REARMAMENT (OPEN OR CLANDESTINE) OF POTENTIALLY HOSTILE POWERS

STUDY RATIONALE & APPROACH

- STUDY PRESENT WORLD SITUATION
- POSTULATE U. S. NATIONAL OBJECTIVES
- DEVELOP FUTURE WORLD SITUATIONS IN WHICH ARMS CONTROL MEASURES HAVE BEEN ADOPTED
- ANALYZE FUTURE SITUATIONS FOR INTERACTIONS WITH U. S. POLICY GOALS & NATIONAL SECURITY PROBLEM AREAS
- DETERMINE, FOR EACH SITUATION, CAPABILITY OF U. S. TO PROVIDE FOR ITS NATIONAL SECURITY & PROTECT VITAL INTERESTS WITH FORCES ALLOWABLE

*METHODS ADOPTED


UNITED STATES POLICY GOALS

- DETERRENCE
- CRISIS CONTROL
- SURVIVABILITY
- MULTIPLE OPTIONS
- CONTROLLED RESPONSE
- DAMAGE LIMITATION
- NEGOTIATING THRESHOLDS
- WAR TERMINATION

METHODOLOGY

- QUANTITATIVE APPROACH
- ANALYSIS BY EXPERTS
- GROUP PROBLEM SOLVING
- WAR GAMING
- MATRIX APPROACH*
- DYNAMIC CONTEXTUAL ANALYSIS*

INTERACTIONS AMONG ARMS CONTROL MEASURES & NATIONAL SECURITY



ACCOMPLISHMENTS

ACCOMPLISHMENTS OUTLINE

- DATA
- METHODOLOGY
- NATIONAL OBJECTIVES
- PRESENT WORLD ENVIRONMENT
- SCENARIOS
- SCENARIO ANALYSES
- SPECIAL TREATMENT OF GCD

DATA & INFORMATION SOURCES*

HISTORICAL

- EXTENSIVE LITERATURE SEARCH (ORIGINAL MATL USED WHENEVER POSSIBLE)
- CHRONOLOGY - RELEVANT EVENTS LISTING STARTING WITH 1945 (TRINITY)

CURRENT EVENTS

- NEWS MEDIA
- STATEMENTS BY WORLD'S LEADERS

MILITARY DOCTRINE

- AIR FORCE MANUAL (AFM 1-1)
- EXPERIENCED PERSONNEL

*CONTRACT SPECIFIES UNCLASSIFIED SOURCES ONLY

METHODOLOGY

MATRIX ANALYSIS - TO DETERMINE GROSS INTERACTIONS

DYNAMIC CONTEXTUAL ANALYSIS - TO DETERMINE RELATIONSHIPS & FACTORS

- SELECT U. S. OBJECTIVES - (INCLUDING MILITARY OBJECTIVES & GOALS)
- SPECIFY CURRENT SITUATION - RELEVANT TO ARMS CONTROL
- ANSWER QUESTION - "ARE OBJECTIVES BEING ACHIEVED?" IF NO;
- SELECT STRATEGIES TO CHANGE SITUATION
- PREPARE SCENARIO - LIST RELEVANT, CREDIBLE & FEASIBLE ACTIONS
- ANALYSE SCENARIO - TO DETERMINE RELATIONSHIPS

ROLE OF THE MILITARY

"MILITARY FORCES OF THE INDIVIDUAL SERVICES DO NOT EXIST AS ENDS WITHIN THEMSELVES. RATHER, EACH IS PROGRAMMED AND OPERATED IN CLOSE COORDINATION WITH THE FORCES OF THE OTHER SERVICES AND WITH NATIONAL DIPLOMACY, ECONOMIC MEASURES, AND DOMESTIC POLICIES. AEROSPACE FORCES ARE DESIGNED TO BE EMPLOYED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THESE OTHER MEASURES TO INFLUENCE ENEMY DECISION-MAKING IN DIRECTIONS COMPATIBLE WITH OUR BASIC NATIONAL OBJECTIVES."¹⁶

¹⁶FROM AFM 1-1

NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

- SELECTED STATEMENT

"THE UNITED STATES SEEKS A WORLD OF INDEPENDENT NATIONS FREE FROM AGGRESSION, IN WHICH RULE BY LAW AND EQUALITY BEFORE THE LAW ARE UNIVERSAL CONDITIONS, IN SUCH A WORLD IT HOPES TO REALIZE THE IDEALS OF POLITICAL SELF-DETERMINATION, ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL JUSTICE, AND FREEDOM OF CONSCIENCE AND OF INQUIRY FOR ALL PEOPLES. THROUGH ATTAINMENT OF THESE GOALS THE UNITED STATES SEEKS UNIVERSAL RECOGNITION OF THE DIGNITY OF THE INDIVIDUAL, THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLE ON WHICH THIS NATION WAS FOUNDED."¹⁷

¹⁷FROM AFM 1-1

U. S. POLICY GOALS

- IMPLEMENTATION OF NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

CREDIBLE DETERRENCE (TO PREVENT ONSET OF WAR)

- DETERRENCE
- CRISIS CONTROL
- SURVIVABILITY
- MULTIPLE OPTIONS

NATIONAL SURVIVAL (IF DETERRENCE FAILS)

- CONTROLLED RESPONSE
- DAMAGE LIMITATION
- NEGOTIATING THRESHOLDS
- WAR TERMINATION

PRESENT WORLD SITUATION

RELEVANT ASPECTS

- LARGE NUMBER OF "INDEPENDENT" NATIONS WITH OBJECTIVES & STRATEGIES
- DIFFERING OBJECTIVES & STRATEGIES CREATE CONFLICT & COMPETITION
- FACTORS CONTROLLING CONFLICT ARE FORCE, FEAR & SELF-INTEREST
- DYNAMICS REQUIRE CONTINUAL SEARCH FOR POLITICAL ALLIANCES, ECONOMIC COMBINES, MILITARY & SCIENTIFIC ADVANTAGE & MORAL (PSYCHOLOGICAL) PERSUADERS

CURRENT SITUATION

- TWO POWERFUL BLOCs WITH DIFFERENT OBJECTIVES
- ACHIEVEMENT OF SUFFICIENT NUCLEAR WEAPONRY TO DESTROY WORLD
- NUCLEAR STALEMATE
- DIFFICULTY OF MAINTAINING CONTROL
- NUCLEAR PROLIFERATION
- INCREASING MILITARY POWER - DECREASING SECURITY DILEMMA
- NUCLEAR STALEMATE DOES NOT PREVENT LIMITED AGGRESSION
- GROWING COMPLEXITY OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONSHIPS

45PS82201

FACTORS AFFECTING ADOPTION OF ARMS CONTROL MEASURES

MAJOR FACTORS

- NEED TO REDUCE DANGER OF UNLIMITED NUCLEAR WAR WHICH NO ONE WILL WIN
- NEED TO REDUCE ECONOMIC COSTS OF CONTINUING ARMS RACE
- NEED TO MAINTAIN NATIONAL SECURITY AT ALL TIMES

MINOR FACTORS

- NUCLEAR STALEMATE HAS NOT PREVENTED LIMITED WAR
- PRESENT & IMPENDING PROLIFERATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS
- POSSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGICAL BREAKTHROUGH ENDING STALEMATE
- POSSIBILITY OF LIMITED MILITARY CONFLICT ESCALATING TO NUCLEAR WAR
- POSSIBILITY OF DOMINATION BY ONE SIDE OR OTHER THROUGH NON-MILITARY MEANS
- MAINTENANCE OF "PEACEFUL" IMAGE ABROAD & NATIONAL PRIDE AT HOME

PRESENT WORLD SITUATION (CONT)

SUMMARY

- NATIONS OF WORLD ARE IN VARIOUS STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT & MATURITY - THEREFORE THEY HAVE DIFFERING & CONFLICTING VIEWS, OBJECTIVES & POLICIES
- RESOLUTION OF THESE CONFLICTS HINDERED BY UNWILLINGNESS TO SURRENDER SOVEREIGNTY & PRESENCE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS

45PS82202

PRESENT SITUATION IN TERMS OF ARMS CONTROL

INTERACTIONS AMONG THESE FACTORS HAVE BROUGHT ABOUT:

- GREAT AMOUNT OF INTERNATIONAL DISCUSSION
- LIMITED UNILATERAL & MULTILATERAL ARMS CONTROL ACTIONS
- UNCERTAINTY ON NEXT STEPS TO BE TAKEN

TO ACHIEVE SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS TOWARD ONE U. S. GOAL, THE WORLD SITUATION MUST CHANGE INTO ONE OF FOLLOWING STATES:

- PEACEFUL COMPETITION - MILITARY POWER NOT USED
- WORLD OF LAWS - EXTRANATIONAL LEGAL INSTITUTIONS
- WORLD GOVT - SURRENDER OF MILITARY POWER & SOVEREIGNTY
- WORLD GOVT BY FORCE - DOMINATION OF THE WORLD BY ONE NATION

NUCLEAR FREE ZONE CHRONOLOGY

EVENTS	TIME			
	1964	1965	1966	1967
POLITICAL AND ARMS CONTROL DEVELOPMENTS	▲ MORE LIBERAL ECONOMIC POLICIES BETWEEN EAST AND WEST BECOME EFFECTIVE ▲ U.S. AND U.S.S.R. CURTAIL FISSIONABLE MATERIALS	▲ INCREASED COOPERATION AT GENEVA CONFERENCE ▲ COMMUNIST CHINESE GOVERNMENT LEADERS COMMIT SUICIDE ▲ BILATERAL U.S.-U.S.S.R. AGREEMENT FOR DESTRUCTION OF OBSOLETE BOMBER AIRCRAFT ▲ FRENCH POLICIES REORIENTED TOWARD U.S., U.K., AND NATO ▲ COMMUNIST CHINA ADMITTED TO U.N.	▲ DEVELOPMENT OF NONPROLIFERATION TREATY ▲ DESTRUCTION OF BOMBERS COMPLETED ▲ EXECUTION OF MULTINATION NONPROLIFERATION TREATY ▲ U.S.S.R. PROPOSES NUCLEAR-FREE ZONE IN CENTRAL EUROPE ▲ SPAIN AGREES TO ADDITIONAL U.S. BASE PRIVILEGES IN SPANISH TERRITORY ▲ NUCLEAR-FREE ZONE TREATY SIGNED	▲ U.S. GOVERNMENT RATIFIES CENTRAL EUROPEAN NUCLEAR-FREE ZONE TREATY ▲ EFFECTIVE DATE FOR NUCLEAR-FREE ZONE TREATY
MILITARY DEVELOPMENTS		▨ AGGRESSIVE ACTS BY COMMUNIST CHINA AND NORTH VIETNAM AGAINST U.S. AND SEATO ▲ U.S.S.R. ABROGATES U.S.S.S.R.-CHINA MUTUAL DEFENSE TREATY ▨ U.S. PUNITIVE WAR VS COMMUNIST CHINA AND NORTH VIETNAM		▲ U.S. JCS ORDER IMPLEMENTING ACTION CONFORMING TO CENTRAL EUROPEAN NUCLEAR-FREE ZONE TREATY ▲ U.S. JCS APPROVE CINCEUR REDEPLOYMENT PLAN WITH NATO CONCURRENCE ▲ U.S. HAS 41 FBMS (SSBN) IN COMMISSION ▲ 6 SHIPS OF NAVAL MLF IN OPERATION ▲ ACTION COMPLETED TO DENUCLEARIZE TREATY ZONE ▲ ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF U.S. & NATO REDEPLOYMENT PLAN EXECUTED 30 SEPT

LEGEND:

▲ = ACTION OCCURRING AT SPECIFIC TIME



▨ = ACTION OR ACTIONS OCCURRING OVER A PERIOD OF TIME

SCENARIO ANALYSES

- CAPABILITY TO ACHIEVE U.S. POLICY GOALS
- IMPACT ON U.S. NATIONAL SECURITY PROBLEM AREAS
- FACTORS OPERATIVE - CHANGES IN MILITARY REQMTS, POSTURES, ETC.
- SUMMARY

SCENARIOS

FUTURE SITUATION SCENARIOS PREPARED WITH THESE ARMS CONTROL MEASURES IN FORCE

- NUCLEAR FREE ZONE IN CENTRAL EUROPE
- EXCHANGE OF MILITARY MISSIONS - U.S. & USSR
- ESTABLISHMENT OF OBSERVATION POSTS IN EUROPE
- GENERAL & COMPLETE DISARMAMENT (3 VERSIONS)

NOTE

THESE SCENARIOS INCLUDE, IN ONE PLACE OR ANOTHER, ALL SEPARABLE ACTIONS MENTIONED EARLIER

NUCLEAR FREE ZONE

NUCLEAR FREE ZONE

POLICY GOALS ANALYSIS

POLICY GOAL	EFFECT OF MEASURE*
CREDIBLE DETERRENCE • DETERRENCE • CRISIS CONTROL • SURVIVABILITY • MULTIPLE OPTIONS	IMPROVED NONE IMPROVED IMPROVED IMPROVED
NATIONAL SURVIVAL • CONTROLLED RESPONSE • DAMAGE LIMITATION • NEGOTIATING THRESHOLDS • WAR TERMINATION	IMPROVED IMPROVED POLITICALLY - LESSENED MILITARY GREATLY IMPROVED IMPROVED IMPROVED

*ASSUMING THAT TREATY IS NOT CLANDESTINELY VIOLATED & THAT CONFLICT, IF IT OCCURS, WILL INVOLVE ONLY NON-NUCLEAR WEAPONS IN ITS INITIAL PHASES.

NUCLEAR FREE ZONE

OPERATIVE FACTORS ANALYSIS

- REMOVE PROHIBITED WEAPONS
- ESTABLISH OBSERVATION POSTS & ASSIGN PERSONNEL
- CHANGE FORCE DEPLOYMENT & COMPOSITION

GROUND UNITS IN ZONE
AIRCRAFT IN ZONE
SUPPORT UNITS
LOGISTICS
INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS

- DEVELOP CONTINGENCY PLANS

NUCLEAR FREE ZONE

SECURITY PROBLEM AREA ANALYSIS

PROBLEM AREA	EFFECT OF MEASURE
• OPEN ATTACK BY MAJOR POWERS	LESSENED - NO CHANGE
• DIRECT ATTACK BY MINOR POWERS	COMPLICATED BY INDIRECT FACTORS
• SUBVERSION & ECONOMIC WARFARE	NO CHANGE TO INCREASED
• CHALLENGE TO U. S. WORLD MARKETS	INDIRECT EFFECTS ONLY
• U. S. INTERESTS IN LATIN AMERICA	INDIRECT EFFECTS ONLY
• THREAT TO U. S. BY REARMAMENT	NO CHANGE

NUCLEAR FREE ZONE

SUMMARY

- DANGER OF NUCLEAR WAR REDUCED
PROBABILITY OF ACHIEVING POLICY GOALS
ASSOCIATED WITH CREDIBLE DETERRENCE
IMPROVED
- PROBABILITY OF ACHIEVING POLICY GOALS
ASSOCIATED WITH WINNING, IF WAR STARTS, IMPROVED
- NATIONAL SECURITY PROBLEM AREAS NOT AFFECTED
- NO MAJOR CHANGES IN FORCE SIZE OR COMPOSITION
- CONSIDERABLE MILITARY REORGANIZATION & REDEPLOYMENT REQD
- LOGISTIC, INTELLIGENCE & CONTINGENCY PLANNING ACTIVITIES
INCREASED
- IMPACT ON MILITARY BUDGET SMALL

EXCHANGE OF MILITARY MISSIONS

ASPM/0011

CHRONOLOGY OF SCENARIO FOR BILATERAL U.S. - USSR AGREEMENT FOR EXCHANGE OF MILITARY MISSIONS

EVENTS	TIME		
	1964	1965	1966
POLITICAL AND ARMS CONTROL DEVELOPMENTS	GENERAL SITUATION STABLE IN EUROPE CHINESE SITUATION UNCHANGED SOUTHEAST ASIA SITUATION IMPROVES EAST-WEST TENSIONS ARE EASED	EFFECTIVE DATE FOR BILATERAL AGREEMENT BILATERAL US-USSR AGREEMENT FOR EXCHANGE OF MILITARY MISSIONS IS SIGNED	
MILITARY DEVELOP- MENTS	INDONESIA - MALAYSIA GUERRILLA ACTIONS ACCELERATING	CONSTRUCTION OF MLF SHIPS BEGINS	INCIDENT AT U.S. NAVAL WAR COLLEGE INVOLVING ASW PROBLEM SECURITY LEAK FROM USSR MISSION AT U.S. PACOM NATO MILITARY LEADERS UNOFFICIALLY PROTEST LIBERALITY OF THE BILATERAL AGREEMENT U.S. DOD TIGHTENS SECURITY IN ADMINIS- TRATION OF THE AGREE- MENT

LEGEND: ▲ = ACTION OCCURRING AT SPECIFIC TIME ▨ = ACTIONS OR SITUATIONS CONTINUING OVER A PERIOD OF TIME

EXCHANGE OF MILITARY MISSIONS

POLICY GOALS ANALYSIS

POLICY GOAL	EFFECT OF MEASURE
CREDIBLE DETERRENCE • DETERRENCE • CRISIS CONTROL • SURVIVABILITY • MULTIPLE OPTIONS	ENHANCED* ENHANCED ENHANCED NO CHANGE NO CHANGE
NATIONAL SURVIVAL • CONTROLLED RESPONSE • DAMAGE LIMITATION • NEGOTIATION THRESHOLDS • WAR TERMINATION	NO EFFECT NONE NONE NONE NONE

*PROVIDED SECURITY PROBLEM CAN BE SOLVED SO AS TO PREVENT LOSS OF SENSITIVE INFORMATION

EXCHANGE OF MILITARY MISSIONS

SUMMARY

- DANGER OF NUCLEAR WAR REDUCED*
PROBABILITY OF ACHIEVING POLICY GOALS ASSOCIATED WITH CREDIBLE DETERRENCE MARKEDLY INCREASED
PROBABILITY OF ACHIEVING POLICY GOALS DEALING WITH WINNING A WAR, IF IT STARTS, UNCHANGED
- NATIONAL SECURITY PROBLEM AREAS UNCHANGED EXCEPT FOR REDUCTION IN PROBABILITY OF ATTACK BY A MAJOR POWER WHICH IS REDUCED
- IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS MEASURE WOULD REQUIRE NO CHANGES IN FORCE SIZES, COMPOSITIONS OR BUDGET. IT WOULD PERHAPS REQUIRE A CHANGE IN ATTITUDE ON PART OF THE MILITARY & THE PUBLIC

*ASSUMING NO LOSS OF SENSITIVE MILITARY INFORMATION

EXCHANGE OF MILITARY MISSIONS

SECURITY PROBLEM AREA ANALYSIS

PROBLEM AREA	EFFECT OF MEASURE
• OPEN ATTACK BY MAJOR POWERS	VERY MUCH REDUCED*
• DIRECT ATTACK BY MINOR POWERS	NO DIRECT EFFECT
• SUBVERSION & ECONOMIC WARFARE	NO EFFECT
• CHALLENGE TO U. S. WORLD MARKETS	NO EFFECT
• U. S. INTERESTS IN LATIN AMERICA	NO EFFECT
• THREAT TO U. S. BY REARMAMENT	NO CHANGE

*ASSUMING EACH PARTICIPANT ENTERS INTO AGREEMENT IN "GOOD FAITH"

GENERAL & COMPLETE DISARMAMENT

GENERAL & COMPLETE DISARMAMENT

GCD REQUIRES DIFFERENT APPROACH

- NO ACCEPTED INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTION TO REPLACE FORCE, FEAR & SELF-INTEREST AS MEANS OF OBTAINING OBJECTIVES
- DILEMMA: LESS MILITARY FORCE - LESS SECURITY
MORE MILITARY FORCE - LESS SECURITY
- SOLUTION: REACH NEW LEVEL OF INTERNATIONAL BEHAVIOR
- RESULT: WHEN GCD IS ACCEPTABLE IT WILL NOT BE NEEDED

NOTE
WHEN OUR ENEMIES NO LONGER WORRY, WE NEED NO LONGER WORRY

ALTERNATE VIEWS OF SITUATION

STEM FROM

- BACKGROUND, EXPERIENCE, & MATURITY OF INDIVIDUAL & COUNTRY
- OBJECTIVES & STRATEGIES BEING PURSUED
- IMPACT OF VIEW ON DECISION MAKING (AT HOME & ABROAD)

COVER SPECTRUM RANGING OVER:

- WAR BETWEEN TWO DOMINATE PHILOSOPHIES INEVITABLE - ACTIONS, MOVES & MEASURES SHOULD BE DIRECTED AT ENHANCING CAPABILITY TO WIN
- WAR HAS BECOME UNTHINKABLE - ACTIVITIES SHOULD BE DIRECTED AT REDUCING POSSIBILITY OF WAR
- PEACEFUL COEXISTENCE POSSIBLE - EFFORT SHOULD BE DIRECTED AT REDUCING TENSIONS

APPROACH TO GCD

- STUDY PRESENT WORLD SITUATION
- DERIVE ALTERNATE VIEWS OF SITUATION
- SPECIFY SITUATIONS IN WHICH GCD POSSIBLE
- DEVISE ALTERNATE STRATEGIES FOR MOVING FROM PRESENT SITUATION TO SITUATIONS CONDUCTIVE TO GCD
- STUDY ALTERNATE GCD PROPOSALS FOR USE WHEN SITUATION RIPE
- POSTULATE EFFECT OF THESE PROPOSALS ON THE MILITARY & ITS CAPABILITY TO MAINTAIN SECURITY & PROTECT VITAL INTERESTS

NOTE
SECURITY NEEDS & VITAL INTERESTS WILL HAVE CHANGED BY TIME GCD POSSIBLE

FUTURE SITUATIONS IN WHICH GCD IS POSSIBLE

- PEACEFUL COMPETITION - RENOUNCEMENT BY ALL NATIONS OF USE OF MILITARY POWER
- WORLD OF LAWS - ESTABLISHMENT OF INTERNATIONAL LEGAL INSTITUTIONS
- WORLD GOVT - ESTABLISHMENT OF INTERNATIONAL GOVERNING INSTITUTIONS
- WORLD GOVT - CONTROL OF WORLD BY ONE NATION

ALTERNATE STRATEGIES FOR ACHIEVING GCD SITUATIONS

- TAKE "HARD" LINE - REFUSE TO ACT UNLESS RESULT HAS A PAY-OFF IN TERMS OF WORLD DOMINATION
- SEEK BILATERAL & MULTILATERAL TREATIES - TO REDUCE TENSIONS & THREAT OF WAR
- USE PERSUASION & EDUCATION - TO CHANGE VIEWS OF WORLD TO THOSE HELD BY U. S.
- TAKE UNILATERAL ACTIONS - TO CONVINCE REST OF WORLD OF OUR SINCERITY TO ESTABLISH AN ATTITUDE OF TRUST
- BE "GOOD NEIGHBOR" TO ALL NATIONS - WILLING TO RENDER ASSISTANCE IN WHAT-EVER FORM NEEDED
- FOSTER & ENCOURAGE NATIONS - TO ACHIEVE THEIR GOALS BY PEACEFUL MEANS
- USE OUR RESOURCES - TO AID NATIONS FACED WITH EXTERNAL DOMINATION

EXAMPLES OF MEASURES TO IMPLEMENT STRATEGIES (CONT)

- GOOD NEIGHBOR
FOREIGN AID, PEACE CORPS, SURPLUS FOOD, ETC.
- ENCOURAGE PEACEFUL SETTLEMENTS
SUPPORT UNITED NATIONS, TREATY ORGANIZATIONS
- USE OF RESOURCES
MILITARY AID, ECONOMIC AID, MILITARY INTER-VENTION

EXAMPLES OF MEASURES TO IMPLEMENT STRATEGIES

- "HARD LINE"
NEGOTIATE ARMS CONTROL AGREEMENT GUARANTEEING U.S. MILITARY SUPERIORITY - PERCENTAGE REDUCTION IN MISSILE DELIVERY SYSTEMS
- TREATIES
5-POINT PROGRAM - BAN ON USE OF FORCE, FREEZE ON NUCLEAR WEAPONS, HALT PRODUCTION OF FISSIONABLE MATL. ESTABLISH OBSERVATION POSTS. HALT SPREAD OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS
- PERSUASION & EDUCATION
DIPLOMACY, VOICE OF AMERICA, AID TO EDUCATION, ETC.
- UNILATERAL ACTIONS
CLOSE OVERSEAS BASES & REDUCE FORCES

ALTERNATE GCD PROPOSALS

- SOVIET PROPOSAL WITH INSPECTION OF PHASED OUT FORCES)
- U. S. PROPOSAL WITH INSPECTION OF REMAINING FORCES & EQUIP.)
- COMPOSITE GCD SCENARIO
GCD WITHOUT INSPECTION
U. S. 5-POINT PROGRAM

CHRONOLOGY FOR PARTIAL DISARMAMENT & FINAL GENERAL DISARMAMENT TREATIES

EVENT	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	
POLITICAL AND ARMS CONTROL DEVELOPMENTS	▲ INCREASED COOPERATION IN ARMS CONTROL ▲ ADDITIONAL U.S.-USSR AGREEMENTS TO CURB SPREAD OF FISSILE MATERIAL FOR WEAPONS USE (FMW) ▲ COMMUNIST CHINA AGREES TO TESTS FOUR SMALL AND MEDIUM OR NUCLEAR WEAPONS AND DEVICES ▲ INDONESIAN EXPLORES NUCLEAR DEVICE ▲ USSR WITHDRAWS ECONOMIC SUPPORT FROM CUBA ▲ U.S. AND USSR AGREEMENT FOR DESTRUCTION OF OBSOLETE BOMBER AIRCRAFT	▲ COMMUNIST CHINA ADMITS TO THE UN ▲ TREATY FOR NUCLEAR-FREE ZONE IN CENTRAL EUROPE ▲ BERLIN INTERNATIONALIZED UNDER UN CONTROL ▲ NUCLEAR-FREE ZONE IN CENTRAL EUROPE PLACED IN EFFECT ▲ CUBAN REVOLUTION; CHE GUEVARA GOVERNMENT OVERTHROWN ▲ EAST-WEST TENSIONS REDUCING WORLD WIDE INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS IMPROVING IN GENERAL ▲ GNP OF USSR INCREASING	▲ TREATY FOR NUCLEAR-FREE ZONE IN CENTRAL EUROPE ▲ BERLIN INTERNATIONALIZED UNDER UN CONTROL ▲ NUCLEAR-FREE ZONE IN CENTRAL EUROPE PLACED IN EFFECT ▲ CUBAN REVOLUTION; CHE GUEVARA GOVERNMENT OVERTHROWN ▲ EAST-WEST TENSIONS REDUCING WORLD WIDE INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS IMPROVING IN GENERAL ▲ GNP OF USSR INCREASING	▲ ALL FOREIGN AID TO INDONESIA DISCONTINUED ▲ INDONESIAN GOVERNMENT ADOPTS CONCILIATORY FOREIGN RELATIONS POLICY ▲ TREATY FOR FREEZE AND LIMITATIONS ON TESTING OF STRATEGIC NUCLEAR OFFENSIVE AND DEFENSIVE VEHICLES (SNDOV) ▲ U.S.-USSR-BILATERAL AGREEMENT HALTING ALL PRODUCTION OF FMW ▲ NEGOTIATIONS IN PROGRESS FOR PARTIAL ARMS REDUCTION IN ALL CATEGORIES. VERIFICATION TO BE BY UN-CORRELATED COMMISSION ▲ EAST-WEST TENSIONS REDUCING WORLD WIDE INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS IMPROVING IN GENERAL ▲ GNP OF USSR INCREASING	▲ NATO NAVAL SURFACE FLEET IN OPERATION ▲ STRATEGIC NUCLEAR DELIVERY VEHICLES (SNDOV) TREATY PLACED IN EFFECT ▲ U.S.-USSR-BILATERAL AGREEMENT HALTING ALL PRODUCTION OF FMW ▲ NEGOTIATIONS IN PROGRESS FOR PARTIAL ARMS REDUCTION IN ALL CATEGORIES. VERIFICATION TO BE BY UN-CORRELATED COMMISSION ▲ EAST-WEST TENSIONS REDUCING WORLD WIDE INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS IMPROVING IN GENERAL ▲ GNP OF USSR INCREASING	▲ OBSERVATION POSTS AGREEMENT IMPLEMENTED ▲ NEGOTIATIONS IN PROGRESS FOR PARTIAL ARMS REDUCTION IN ALL CATEGORIES. VERIFICATION TO BE BY UN-CORRELATED COMMISSION ▲ EAST-WEST TENSIONS REDUCING WORLD WIDE INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS IMPROVING IN GENERAL ▲ GNP OF USSR INCREASING	▲ EFFECTIVE DATE FOR GENERAL ARMS REDUCTION TREATY ▲ NEGOTIATIONS IN PROGRESS FOR FINAL GENERAL DISARMAMENT ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES	▲ COMPLETION OF EXECUTION OF PARTIAL DISARMAMENT TREATY ▲ NEGOTIATIONS IN PROGRESS FOR FINAL GENERAL DISARMAMENT ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES	▲ COMPLETION OF EXECUTION OF PARTIAL DISARMAMENT TREATY ▲ NEGOTIATIONS IN PROGRESS FOR FINAL GENERAL DISARMAMENT ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES	▲ COMPLETION OF EXECUTION OF PARTIAL DISARMAMENT TREATY ▲ NEGOTIATIONS IN PROGRESS FOR FINAL GENERAL DISARMAMENT ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES	▲ COMPLETION OF EXECUTION OF PARTIAL DISARMAMENT TREATY ▲ NEGOTIATIONS IN PROGRESS FOR FINAL GENERAL DISARMAMENT ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES	▲ COMPLETION OF EXECUTION OF FINAL PHASE DISARMAMENT TREATY ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES ▲ GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN PHASED REDUCTIONS AS PROVIDED FOR IN TWO TREATIES	
	MILITARY DEVELOPMENTS	▲ U.S. FIGHTS LIMITED WAR IN NORTH VIETNAM AND CORRELATES DESTRUCTION OF NUCLEAR PLANTS ▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER	▲ LARGE-SCALE REVOLUTION IN CUBA - CHE GUEVARA GOVERNMENT OVERTHROWN ▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER	▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER	▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER	▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER	▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER	▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER	▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER	▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER	▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER	▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER	▲ U.S. FORCED IN EUROPE BEING REDUCED ▲ MALAYSIA DEFEATING INDONESIAN GUERRILLAS ▲ POSSIBLE DETERIORATING ▲ MARKED GROWTH IN STATUS OF FRANCE AS A NUCLEAR POWER

LEGEND: ▲ ACTION AT SPECIFIC TIME ■ ACTIONS OCCURRING, OR SITUATIONS EXISTING OVER A PERIOD OF TIME

EFFECT OF THESE PROPOSALS ON U. S. CAPABILITY TO MAINTAIN SECURITY

- GCD PROBABLY IMPOSSIBLE IN PRESENT WORLD SITUATION
DUE TO NEED FOR MILITARY IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN
SECURITY AT ALL TIMES
- AS SITUATION CHANGES TOWARD ONE IN WHICH GCD
POSSIBLE NEED FOR MILITARY FORCES TO MAINTAIN
SECURITY WILL LESSEN
- AT SOME POINT IN TIME REQMT FOR MILITARY FORCES TO
MAINTAIN SECURITY WILL BECOME SO SMALL THAT ITS
LOSS WILL NOT AFFECT SECURITY

FUTURE WORK

- ANALYSIS OF EFFECTS ON NATIONAL SECURITY &
FACTORS OPERATIVE FOR
 - OBSERVATION POSTS
 - DESTRUCTION OF OBSOLETE EQUIPMENT
 - 5-POINT PROGRAM PROPOSALS
 - OTHERS?
- COMPLETE ANALYSIS OF CAPABILITY TO MAINTAIN
NATIONAL SECURITY WITH FORCES ALLOWABLE
- PREPARE FINAL REPORT & BRIEFING

4JF82228

TENTATIVE GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

IN TERMS OF STUDY OBJECTIVES

- METHODOLOGY, DATA & INFORMATION EXIST WHICH PERMIT IDENTIFICATION OF FACTORS
OPERATIVE IN POST-ARMS CONTROL SITUATIONS RELATIVE TO NATIONAL SECURITY OF U. S.
- OF ARMS CONTROL PROPOSALS STUDIED, ONLY GCD WILL HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT ON
NATIONAL SECURITY & U. S. ABILITY TO PROTECT ITS VITAL INTERESTS AT THIS TIME

BY TIME GCD BECOMES POSSIBLE, NATIONAL SECURITY NEEDS & VITAL INTERESTS
WILL HAVE CHANGED SO THAT IT WILL NOT AFFECT NATIONAL SECURITY EITHER

ARMS CONTROL PROPOSALS (SEPARABLE PKGS) WILL REQUIRE, IF ADOPTED,
EXTENSIVE CHANGES IN MILITARY DEPLOYMENT & FORCE STRUCTURES.
THESE CHANGES ARE ALL WELL WITHIN REALM OF FEASIBILITY

- ARMS CONTROL & DISARMAMENT PRIMARILY POLITICAL & PSYCHOLOGICAL PHENOMENON
- TECHNOLOGY WILL PLAY ONLY MINOR ROLE

UNITED STATES REPORT (formerly U.S./FRANCE REPORT) is published at 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, N. Y. 10010.

SUBSCRIPTION RATE: in United States, its possessions, Canada and Mexico, \$10 for 12 issues; all other countries, \$11.

SINGLE COPIES: \$1; 2 copies, \$1.50; 5 copies, \$3.00; 10-99 copies, 50¢ each; 100 or more copies, 25¢ each.

FOR CHANGE OF ADDRESS send old address and new address with zip number.

UNITED STATES REPORT permits other publications to reprint portions of its contents through special arrangement only. Address all inquiries to the Editor. Otherwise, reproduction is not permitted.

EDITOR & PUBLISHER: Samuel L. Blumenfeld

A NOTE OF THANKS

We wish to thank the many readers who wrote us expressing their approval of and delight with the first issue of United States Report. The Editor wishes also to send greetings to the many friends and subscribers he met during his recent lecture tour of North and South Dakota. It was a memorable and most enjoyable trip. He wishes to also thank his friends in New Mexico and Abilene, Texas, for their hospitality and kindness during his visit there.

THE WARREN REPORT

Readers and subscribers in the New York area will have the opportunity to hear the Editor of United States Report give a highly informative lecture on The Warren Report, at Town Hall, West 43rd Street, New York City, on Thursday, September 16, at 8 p.m. Tickets are available at \$2 from American Opinion Library, 520 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10036. Telephone: MU 2-5844. The lecture is based on your editor's extensive research in the twenty-six volumes which comprise the full report of the Warren Commission.

BACK ISSUES OF U.S./FRANCE REPORT

For readers who desire a complete set of U.S./FRANCE REPORT for their libraries or reference files, Numbers 1 through 8 covering the crucial period of the Algerian sell-out are available as a set for \$2. Numbers 9 through 13, covering the period April 1963 to August 1964, are available as a set for \$3. The complete set (Numbers 1-14), along with a Table of Contents to serve as Index, is \$5.

If there is anything which will make the average American sit up and take notice, we think it is the fantastic document reproduced in this issue. It confirms virtually everything conservatives have been saying about the internal conspiracy for the last five years. That such a document should have been exposed is something of a miracle, the same kind of miracle which occurred when Officer Tippit stopped Lee Harvey Oswald, who was only minutes away from his complete escape. Officer Tippit lost his life in the line of duty. Bill Manahan lost his job in the line of his duty.

But what Mr. Manahan did was only the beginning. Copies of this document must now reach as many Americans as possible. Only if enough people know what it is and exert enough pressure on Congress will there be an investigation of it. The American people have a right to know if a vast sell-out to World Government is being engineered for them with their own tax money. But it will never know it if the establishment has its way.

We therefore suggest the following:

Send a copy of this document to your local newspaper. Send another to your Congressman with a letter asking for an explanation and an investigation. Try and get your local group to distribute as many copies to as many prominent and interested citizens as possible. Draw the document to the attention of columnists, news analysts, teachers, doctors, attorneys, businessmen and members of the Armed Services. Military officers should be particularly interested in the total disarmament which is being planned. The plan calls for the completion of disarmament by 1976--only ten years from now. In other words, those who are now 20 years of age can expect to see the end of their nation's sovereignty by the time they are 30. Children born on this soil ten years from now will be citizens of a world government. It is going to happen only ten years from now--unless we stop it.

All candidates for public office should be presented with this disarmament plan and asked if they endorse it. Disarmament should be made an election issue.

We shall hear more about this document in the months ahead. But we shall hear *much, much* more, if conservatives make the effort to disseminate it widely. This is the only way we shall be able to beat the planners.